

Antibacterial and Antioxidant Activities of Essential Oils Isolated from *Thymbra capitata* L. (Cav.) and *Origanum vulgare* L.

LEONOR FALEIRO,^{*,†} GRAÇA MIGUEL,[†] SÓNIA GOMES,[†] LUDMILA COSTA,[†]
FLORENCIA VENÂNCIO,[§] ADRIANO TEIXEIRA,[§] A. CRISTINA FIGUEIREDO,[#]
JOSÉ G. BARROSO,[#] AND LUIS G. PEDRO[#]

Universidade do Algarve, FERN, Campus de Gambelas 8005-139 Faro, Portugal; Departamento de Tecnologia das Indústrias Químicas, INETI, IBQTA, Estrada do Paço do Lumiar, 1649-038 Lisboa Codex, Portugal; and Universidade de Lisboa, Faculdade de Ciências de Lisboa, DBV, Centro de Biotecnologia Vegetal, C2, Campo Grande, 1749-016 Lisbon, Portugal

Antilisterial activities of *Thymbra capitata* and *Origanum vulgare* essential oils were tested against 41 strains of *Listeria monocytogenes*. The oil of *T. capitata* was mainly constituted by one component, carvacrol (79%), whereas for *O. vulgare* three components constituted 70% of the oil, namely, thymol (33%), γ -terpinene (26%), and *p*-cymene (11%). *T. capitata* essential oil had a significantly higher antilisterial activity in comparison to *O. vulgare* oil and chloramphenicol. No significant differences in *L. monocytogenes* susceptibilities to the essential oils tested were registered. The minimum inhibitory concentration values of *T. capitata* essential oil and of carvacrol were quite similar, ranging between 0.05 and 0.2 μ L/mL. Antioxidant activity was also tested, the essential oil of *T. capitata* showing significantly higher antioxidant activity than that of *O. vulgare*. Use of *T. capitata* and *O. vulgare* essential oils can constitute a powerful tool in the control of *L. monocytogenes* in food and other industries.

KEYWORDS: *Listeria monocytogenes*; *Thymbra capitata* L. (Cav.); *Origanum vulgare* L.; essential oils; antibacterial activity; antioxidant activity

INTRODUCTION

Addition of spices to food is common in the Mediterranean population mainly due to the pleasant flavor and additionally to the increase in food shelf-life time. Investigations concerning the antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of either the plant, their extracts, and/or essential oils have been conducted in the search for new compounds to replace synthetic ones, because the consumption of “green” products has suffered a tremendous demand (1, 2).

The concern for food safety has increased significantly in recent years; in particular, apprehension has grown about foodborne microorganisms, such as *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7, *Salmonella* spp., and *Listeria monocytogenes* (3).

L. monocytogenes is the etiological agent of human and animal listeriosis. In the late 1980s this disease was recognized as foodborne. The consumption of food contaminated with the bacterium, such as meat, fish, vegetables, and dairy products, especially cheese, can constitute a serious health risk to humans, achieving a high mortality rate, especially in “risk groups” that

include healthy pregnant women, fetuses and newborn infants, the elderly, and immunocompromised individuals (4). Foodborne illness is a serious health problem around the world. Recently, in the United States, the Centers for Disease Control estimated that 76 million people get sick each year, of which ~300 000 are hospitalized and 5000 die as a result of foodborne disease (5). *L. monocytogenes* is considered to be a robust bacterium, the ability to grow at refrigeration temperatures and in the presence of high salt content, iron deficiency, and acid contributing mostly to the foodborne transmission. In addition, the importance of this foodborne pathogen is reinforced by the ability to adapt when exposed to sublethal conditions during food processing and gain tolerance to more severe stress conditions, which this bacterium may encounter either during postprocessing conditions or during host invasion (6–9). Acquisition of antimicrobial resistance by foodborne pathogens will compromise human drug treatments. In reality, the existence of antimicrobial-resistant variants among several food pathogens such as *Salmonella enterica*, *E. coli*, *Campylobacter jejuni*, *Yersinia enterocolitica*, and *L. monocytogenes* has already been registered (10).

The antimicrobial properties of essential oils were stated long ago, and in the past decades intensive studies were carried out indicating the possibility of their use in the control of foodborne pathogens, contributing to a future reduction in the employment

* Corresponding author [telephone (351) 289 800900; fax (351) 289 818419; e-mail mfaleiro@ualg.pt].

[†] Universidade do Algarve.

[§] INETI, IBQTA.

[#] Universidade de Lisboa.

of antibiotics (11–16). Several studies have shown the antimicrobial efficacy of the essential oils of *Origanum vulgare* and *Thymbra capitata* or their major oil components against diverse microorganisms (17–19).

O. vulgare L. and *T. capitata* L. (Cav.) are two aromatic plants spread throughout southern Portugal. *T. capitata* is used in some Portuguese traditional meat dishes, particularly in rabbit meat, whereas *O. vulgare* is preferentially used in tomato salads, fish food, and “escargot”.

The majority of the studies conducted to determine the antibacterial activity of essential oils use a limited number of bacterial strains, and laboratory strains are frequently selected. Consequently, the obtained results may not truthfully indicate either the susceptibility of the bacterial strains isolated from food and other sources or the potential activity of the selected essential oils.

The present study was carried out employing a significant number of strains of one of the most important foodborne pathogens, the bacterium *Listeria monocytogenes*, for the determination of the antibacterial activity of the *T. capitata* and *O. vulgare* essential oils. Another goal of the present study was the evaluation of the antioxidant activity of these essential oils as an important factor to reduce aging, cardiovascular diseases, and cancer development. The obtained data will allow the future application of the most promising essential oil in food systems associating two activities that will contribute enormously to improve food safety and quality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. The aerial dried flowering parts of *O. vulgare* L. were acquired in the local market, whereas a collective population of *T. capitata* L. (Cav.) was collected in Nave do Barão (Algarve, Portugal) at the flowering phase. Butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) and butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA) were purchased from Fluka (Steinheim, Germany). α -Tocopherol and chloride potassium were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Thiobarbituric acid (TBA) was purchased from Acros (Geel, Belgium). 2,2'-Azobis(2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride (ABAP) was purchased from Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Sodium dodecyl sulfate and acetic acid were purchased from Riedel-de Haën (Seelze, Germany). Linoleic acid was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). The culture medium tryptic soy agar (TSA) was purchased from Oxoid (Basingstoke, Hampshire, U.K.). All of the components of the defined medium Trivett and Meyer (TM) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Isolation of Essential Oils. The oils were isolated from dried material by hydrodistillation, for 4 h, using a Clevenger-type apparatus (20). The oil yields of *T. capitata* and *O. vulgare* were 2.9 and 1.8% (v/w), respectively.

Gas Chromatography (GC). GC analyses were performed using a Perkin-Elmer 8700 gas chromatograph equipped with two flame ionization detectors (FIDs), a data handling system, and a vaporizing injector port into which two columns of different polarities were installed: a DB-1 fused-silica column (30 m \times 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness = 0.25 μ m; J&W Scientific Inc., Rancho Cordova, CA) and a DB-17HT fused-silica column (30 m \times 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness = 0.15 μ m; J&W Scientific Inc.). Oven temperature was programmed from 45 to 175 $^{\circ}$ C, at 3 $^{\circ}$ C/min, subsequently at 15 $^{\circ}$ C/min to 300 $^{\circ}$ C, and then held isothermal for 10 min; injector and detector temperatures were 280 and 290 $^{\circ}$ C, respectively; and carrier gas, hydrogen, was adjusted to a linear velocity of 30 cm/s. The samples were injected using split sampling technique, ratio 1:50. The volume of injection was 0.2 μ L of a pentane–oil solution. The percentage composition of the oils was computed by the normalization method from the GC peak areas, calculated as mean values of two injections from each oil, without using correction factors.

Gas Chromatograph–Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS). The GC-MS unit consisted of a Carlo Erba 6000 Vega gas chromatograph, equipped with a DB-1 fused-silica column (30 m \times 0.25 mm i.d., film

Table 1. Description of *L. monocytogenes* Strains

| <i>L. monocytogenes</i> strain | serotype | origin | source |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| EGD | 1/2a | clinical | Trudeau Institute, New York |
| NCTC 7973 | 1/2a | guinea pig, lymph nodes related to Mexican-style cheese outbreak | England |
| Scott A | | | 37 |
| C679 | nd ^a | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA ^b |
| C680 | nd | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C681 | 4b | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C682 | nd | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C683 | nd | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C684 | nd | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C685 | nd | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C687 | nd | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C688 | nd | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C713 | nd | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C736 | nd | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C737 | nd | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C739 | nd | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C759 | nd | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C760 | nd | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C778 | nd | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C779 | nd | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C782 | nd | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C830 | nd | Portuguese turkey meat | INETI-DTIA |
| C882 | 4b | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C895 | 1/2a | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C897 | 1/2a | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C958 | nd | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C969 | nd | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C996 | nd | processed meat | INETI-DTIA |
| C997 | nd | processed meat | INETI-DTIA |
| C1003 | nd | pork meat | INETI-DTIA |
| C1006 | nd | pork meat | INETI-DTIA |
| C1046 | nd | hamburger | INETI-DTIA |
| C1048 | nd | Portuguese cheese | INETI-DTIA |
| C1049 | nd | hamburger | INETI-DTIA |
| C1050 | nd | cow's milk | INETI-DTIA |
| C1051 | nd | cow's milk | INETI-DTIA |
| C1052 | nd | cow's milk | INETI-DTIA |
| C1053 | nd | processed meat | INETI-DTIA |
| G14 | nd | cheese factory environment | INETI-DTIA |
| SLU2157 | 1/2a | clinical | 38 |
| SLU 1922 | 4b | clinical | 39 |

^a Not determined. ^b Instituto Nacional de Engenharia e Tecnologia Industrial, Departamento de Tecnologia das Indústrias Alimentares.

thickness = 0.25 μ m; J&W Scientific Inc.), and interfaced with a Finnigan MAT 800 ion trap detector (ITD; software version 4.1). Oven temperature was as above; transfer line temperature was 280 $^{\circ}$ C; ion trap temperature was 220 $^{\circ}$ C; carrier gas, helium, was adjusted to a linear velocity of 30 cm/s; split ratio was 1:40; ionization energy was 70 eV; ionization current was 60 μ A; scan range was 40–300 u; and scan time was 1 s. The identity of the components was assigned by comparison of their retention indices, relative to C₉–C₁₇ n-alkanes, and GC-MS spectra with corresponding data of components of reference oils (RO), laboratory-synthesized components (LSC), and commercially available standards (CAS) from a homemade library: RO1, *Thymus caespitosus* oils; RO2, *Cinnamomum zeylanicum* oil; RO3, *Achillea millefolium* oils; CAS1, Extrasynthese (Cymit Química, S.L., Barcelona, Spain); CAS2, Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany); CAS3, Fluka (Steinheim, Germany); CAS4, Riedel-de Haën (Seelze, Germany).

Antilisterial Activity Determination. The strains of *L. monocytogenes* used in this study are listed in Table 1. Strains were kept at –70 $^{\circ}$ C and maintained in TSA at 4 $^{\circ}$ C during the study. The cultures were recovered from freezing by growth in tryptic soy broth (TSB) and prior to the assay were first grown in a TSA plate for 24 h at 30 $^{\circ}$ C; from this plate a loop was used to inoculate 10 mL of TSB for ~2 h in order to reach the exponential phase. The antibacterial activity was determined by agar diffusion method, and 0.1 mL of the previously

obtained exponential phase culture was used to inoculate the TSA plates. Sterile filter paper disks of 6 mm were distributed on the agar surface containing 3 μL of the isolated essential oils or 2 μL of carvacrol standard. Sterile water and the antibiotic chloramphenicol (30 $\mu\text{g}/\text{disk}$) were used as control. Inhibition zones were determined after an incubation period of 24 h at 30 °C.

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC; the minimum concentration that causes a reduction of growth) for the *T. capitata* essential oil and carvacrol standard was determined using a microdilution method. Concentrations of the essential oil of *T. capitata* and carvacrol ranging from 0 to 0.35 $\mu\text{L}/\text{mL}$ with increments of 0.05 were tested. Each *L. monocytogenes* strain was grown overnight in a defined medium TM (21) [TM composition per liter: K_2HPO_4 , 8.50 g; $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$, 1.50 g; NH_4Cl , 0.50 g; $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 0.41 g; $\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 0.048 g; NaOH , 0.24 g; nitritotriacetic acid, 0.48 g; L-cysteine hydrochloride, 100 mg; L-leucine, 100 mg; DL-isoleucine, 200 mg; DL-valine, 200 mg; DL-methionine, 200 mg; L-arginine hydrochloride, 200 mg; L-histidine hydrochloride, 200 mg; riboflavin, 1 000 μg ; thiamine hydrochloride, 1000 μg ; D-biotin, 100 μg ; α -lipoic acid, 1 μg ; glucose, 0.2% (w/v)], collected and washed in Ringer solution, and finally resuspended in TM medium at appropriate concentration. The defined medium TM was chosen for the MIC determination because it is one of the most suitable to test the behavior of *L. monocytogenes* strains to several stresses (5, 22). Each well of a microplate (Greiner Labortechnik, Frickenhausen, Germany) was filled with 180 μL of the defined medium with the appropriate essential oil or standard concentration. The inoculation of the wells was done by using a volume of 10–20 μL of the previously prepared culture. Negative control was constituted by the culture in TM with no essential oil. Three replicates for each *L. monocytogenes* strain were used. The growth was followed by spectrophotometry ($A_{655 \text{ nm}}$) using a microplate reader (Benchmark, Bio-Rad, Hemel Hempstead, U.K.).

The MIC value was determined from the growth curves. The minimum concentration that did not show listerial growth was considered to be the minimum bactericidal concentration. The purity of the culture was determined by growing the culture in TSA medium and observed by Henry illumination.

Data on susceptibility of *L. monocytogenes* strains to the essential oils tested were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA), and in postanalysis the multiple-comparison Tuckey test was used.

Antioxidant Activity Measurement. TBARS Assay. Two sets of experiments based on a modified thiobarbituric acid (TBA) reactive species assay (TBARS) were used to measure the antioxidant ability of the sample (essential oils or tested substances): without (1) and with (2) a lipid peroxidation inducer. In both cases egg yolk homogenate was used as lipid-rich media obtained as described by Dorman et al. (23); that is, an aliquot of yolk material was made up to a concentration of 10% (w/v) in KCl (1.15%, w/v). The yolk was then homogenized for 30 s followed by ultrasonication for a further 5 min. For set 1 of the TBARS assay, 500 μL of the homogenate and 100 μL of sample, solubilized in methanol, were added to a test tube and made up to 1 mL with distilled water, followed by the addition of 1.5 mL of 20% acetic acid (pH 3.5) and 1.5 mL of 0.8% (w/v) TBA in 1.1% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS). Each essential oil and tested substance was assayed in the concentrations of 160, 320, 640, 800, and 1000 mg/L. This mixture was stirred in a vortex and heated at 95 °C for 60 min. After cooling, at room temperature, 5 mL of butan-1-ol was added to each tube, stirred, and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 532 nm using a Shimadzu 160-UV spectrophotometer. All of the values are expressed as antioxidant index (AI%), whereby the control is completely peroxidized and each oil and tested substance demonstrated a comparative percentage of antioxidant protection. The AI% was calculated using the following formula: $(1 - t/c) \times 100$, c being the absorbance value of the fully oxidized control and t the absorbance of the tested sample (24). For set 2 of the TBARS assay, 50 μL of ABAP (0.07 M) was added to induce lipid peroxidation, soon after the addition of sample, the remaining procedure being as reported above.

Micellar Model System. The samples were analyzed according to the method already described by some authors (25, 26) with slight modifications. Fifteen milliliters of the micellar suspension of linoleic

acid was prepared with 0.1 M solution of SDS set in aqueous 0.01 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and linoleic acid to a concentration of 0.026 M. The micellar suspension was stirred in a reactor at 50 °C. After equilibration for 10 min, 75 μL of 0.07 M ABAP in water was added, and peroxidation was monitored at 234 nm. After 20 min, 75 μL of the sample (32 mg/mL) dissolved in methanol was added, and the kinetics was monitored for the following 20 min. The buffered SDS solution was used as a blank. The ratio between the slope of the linear plot of absorbance versus time after and before the addition of the sample gave the antioxidant index (AI%): $\text{AI}\% = [1 - (S_{\text{inh}}/S_{\text{ABAP}})] \times 100$, where S_{inh} and S_{ABAP} are the slopes after and before the addition of the sample solution, respectively.

The analytical values of the antioxidant activity measurement represent means of four replicates. Analysis of variance was performed by ANOVA procedures (SPSS 10.0 for Windows). Data obtained were subjected to one-way analysis of variance and Tuckey's test analysis.

RESULTS

The chemical compositions of the essential oils of *T. capitata* and *O. vulgare* are listed in **Table 2** in order of the components' elution on a DB-1 column. Oxygen-containing monoterpenes dominated the essential oil of *T. capitata* (81%), carvacrol (79%) being the most representative component of this group. Monoterpene hydrocarbons (48%) and oxygen-containing monoterpenes (38%) constituted the most important groups of *O. vulgare* oil. γ -Terpinene (26%), *p*-cymene (11%), and thymol (33%) were the dominant components of those groups.

The results of the determination of the antilisterial activity of the *T. capitata* and *O. vulgare* essential oils are summarized in **Table 3**. Essential oils of both *T. capitata* and *O. vulgare* exhibit anti-listeria activity. The conducted one-way between-groups analysis of variance indicated that the essential oil of *T. capitata* had a significantly higher activity ($P < 0.05$) against the 41 strains of *L. monocytogenes* used than the essential oil of *O. vulgare* and the antibiotic (chloramphenicol). Moreover, the anti-listeria activity of *O. vulgare* essential oil was similar ($P > 0.05$) to that of the antibiotic. No differences ($P > 0.05$) in susceptibility to the essential oils between the 41 strains of *L. monocytogenes* were registered.

For the determination of MIC of the essential oil of *T. capitata*, 12 *L. monocytogenes* strains were selected. As it was not possible to distinguish the *L. monocytogenes* strains according to their susceptibility to tested essential oils, 5 *L. monocytogenes* strains (C681, C830, C882, C895, and C897) that were previously tested for their tolerance to several stresses, namely, acid and salt (6), were included. Concentrations ranging from 0.05 to 0.35 $\mu\text{L}/\text{mL}$ were used. The minimum concentration that caused a decline in growth was considered to be the MIC value. The results are summarized in **Table 4**. The MIC value varied between 0.05 and 0.20 $\mu\text{L}/\text{mL}$, and the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC, the minimum concentration at which no recovery of viable cells was registered) for this essential oil was 0.30 $\mu\text{L}/\text{mL}$ for all *L. monocytogenes* strains tested.

The antilisterial activity of carvacrol, the main component of the *T. capitata* essential oil, was determined by disk diffusion technique and by the determination of the MIC value. Five *L. monocytogenes* strains were selected for this determination. The results are summarized in **Table 5**. The activity of carvacrol determined by disk diffusion assay was higher ($P < 0.05$) when compared to that of the antibiotic chloramphenicol (**Table 5**). The *L. monocytogenes* strains used were equally susceptible ($P > 0.05$) to carvacrol. The MIC for carvacrol ranged from 0.05 to 0.15 $\mu\text{L}/\text{mL}$ (**Table 5**). The MBC was 0.25 $\mu\text{L}/\text{mL}$ for all *L. monocytogenes* strains tested. These values were slightly inferior

Table 2. Percentage Composition of the Essential Oils Isolated by Hydrodistillation from the Aerial Parts of *T. capitata* and *O. vulgare*^a

| IP ^b | component | RI ^c | T. capitata | | O. vulgare | |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | | RI ^c | RI ^c | RI ^c | RI ^c |
| RO1, RO2 | α-thujene | 924 | 0.6 | 1.4 | | |
| CAS1, RO1 | α-pinene | 930 | 0.5 | 0.7 | | |
| CAS1, RO1 | camphene | 938 | 0.1 | 0.2 | | |
| CAS1, RO1, | sabinene | 958 | 0.2 | 0.1 | | |
| CAS2 | octen-3-ol | 961 | | 0.4 | | |
| CAS1, RO1, RO2 | β-pinene | 963 | 0.1 | 0.2 | | |
| CAS1, RO1, RO2 | myrcene | 975 | 1.4 | 2.0 | | |
| CAS2, RO1, RO2 | α-phellandrene | 995 | 0.1 | 0.3 | | |
| CAS2, RO1, RO2 | δ-3-carene | 1000 | 0.1 | | | |
| CAS2, RO1, RO2 | α-terpinene | 1002 | 1.0 | 3.4 | | |
| CAS2, RO1, RO2 | p-cymene | 1003 | 6.7 | 10.7 | | |
| CAS2, RO1, RO2 | 1,8-cineole | 1005 | 0.2 | | | |
| CAS3, RO1, RO2 | limonene | 1009 | 0.2 | 0.6 | | |
| CAS3, RO1, RO2 | cis-β-ocimene | 1017 | | 2.5 | | |
| CAS3, RO1, RO2 | trans-β-ocimene | 1027 | 0.1 | 0.3 | | |
| CAS2, RO1, RO2 | -γ-terpinene | 1035 | 3.0 | 25.9 | | |
| CAS3 | trans-sabinene hydrate | 1037 | 0.2 | 0.2 | | |
| CAS2, RO1, RO2 | terpinolene | 1064 | 0.1 | 0.1 | | |
| CAS2 | linalool | 1074 | 1.0 | 0.4 | | |
| CAS2 | borneol | 1134 | 0.2 | 0.3 | | |
| CAS2, RO1, RO2 | terpinen-4-ol | 1148 | 0.8 | 0.1 | | |
| CAS3, RO1, RO2 | α-terpineol | 1159 | t ^d | 0.1 | | |
| RO1 | methylthymol | 1208 | | 1.1 | | |
| RO1 | methylcarvacrol | 1224 | | 2.5 | | |
| CAS4, RO1 | thymol | 1275 | | 32.6 | | |
| CAS3, RO1 | carvacrol | 1286 | 78.6 | 0.3 | | |
| CAS2, RO3 | eugenol | 1327 | 0.1 | | | |
| RO1 | carvacrol acetate | 1348 | 0.3 | | | |
| CAS2, RO1, RO2 | β-caryophyllene | 1414 | 2.2 | 4.5 | | |
| CAS3, RO1, RO2 | α-humulene | 1447 | 0.1 | 0.7 | | |
| RO2 | γ-murolene | 1469 | | 0.8 | | |
| RO1, RO2 | germacrene D | 1474 | | 0.7 | | |
| RO1, RO2 | β-bisabolene | 1495 | | 2.0 | | |
| RO2 | γ-cadinene | 1500 | 0.1 | 0.3 | | |
| RO2 | calamenene | 1505 | | 0.5 | | |
| RO 2 | δ-cadinene | 1505 | | 1.1 | | |
| RO1, RO1 | elemol | 1530 | 0.1 | | | |
| RO1, RO1 | spathulenol | 1551 | | 0.1 | | |
| CAS3, RO1, RO2 | caryophyllene oxide | 1561 | 0.2 | 0.4 | | |
| RO2 | α-cadinol | 1626 | | 0.2 | | |

^a Quantification was performed by the normalization method. Peak area percent standard deviation ≤ 0.5. For quantification details see Materials and Methods.

^b Identification procedure. All components were identified on the basis of a homemade library created with reference oils (RO), laboratory-synthesized components (LSC), and commercial available standards (CAS). RO1, *Thymus caespitosus* oils; RO2, *Achillea millefolium* oils; RO3, *Cinnamomum zeylanicum* oil; CAS1, Extrasynthese (Cymit Química, S.L.); CAS2, Sigma-Aldrich; CAS3, Fluka; CAS4, Riedel-de Haën. ^c Retention index relative to C₉–C₁₇ n-alkanes on the DB-1 column. ^d Trace (<0.05%).

to the MIC (0.20 μL/mL) and MBC (0.30 μL/mL) of the essential oil of *T. capitata* (Table 4).

Tables 6 and 7 show the antioxidant indices (percent) of the essential oils of *T. capitata* and *O. vulgare* as well as those of BHT, BHA, and α-tocopherol, in different concentrations, using TBARS assay in the absence or in the presence of the radical inducer ABAP, respectively. In the absence of the radical inducer, the essential oils showed antioxidant activity mainly at higher concentrations, ranging from 83 to 87% from 640 to 1000 mg/L (Table 6). For concentrations ≥ 640 mg/L no significant differences were observed in the antioxidant indices of *T. capitata* and *O. vulgare* oils and BHT; that is, their activities were comparable. α-Tocopherol and BHA were less effective than the essential oils tested at least in the concentration range of 320–800 mg/L for BHA and 1000 mg/L for α-tocopherol.

It is noteworthy that in the presence of ABAP, α-tocopherol and BHA showed higher antioxidant capacity, regardless of the

Table 3. Antilisterial Activity (Inhibition Zone, Including Diameter of the Disk, 6 mm) of the Oils from *T. capitata* and *O. vulgare*^a

| strain | diameter of inhibition zone (mm) | | |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | <i>T. capitata</i> | <i>O. vulgare</i> | chloramphenicol |
| C 679 | 33.75 ± 1.77a | 36.25 ± 1.77b | 20.00 ± 0.00b |
| C 680 | 25.25 ± 1.77a | 19.00 ± 4.24b | 23.00 ± 1.41b |
| C 681 | 22.50 ± 2.12a | 27.50 ± 3.50b | 24.00 ± 1.40b |
| C 682 | 28.50 ± 0.71a | 19.50 ± 0.71b | 23.50 ± 0.71b |
| C 683 | 23.50 ± 0.71a | 19.00 ± 1.41b | 22.75 ± 2.47b |
| C 684 | 28.25 ± 2.47a | 23.10 ± 4.38b | 24.00 ± 1.41b |
| C 685 | 29.50 ± 0.71a | 24.50 ± 0.71b | 22.50 ± 2.12b |
| C 687 | 27.25 ± 0.36a | 17.50 ± 2.12b | 23.75 ± 1.06b |
| C 688 | 34.75 ± 3.18a | 31.00 ± 5.66b | 23.75 ± 1.06b |
| C 713 | 29.50 ± 6.36a | 28.50 ± 2.12b | 23.50 ± 0.71b |
| C 736 | 33.00 ± 2.83a | 22.00 ± 1.41b | 22.50 ± 0.00b |
| C 737 | 29.50 ± 3.54a | 18.25 ± 2.48b | 21.75 ± 0.36b |
| C 739 | 30.00 ± 0.00a | 35.00 ± 0.00b | 22.00 ± 1.41b |
| C 759 | 34.50 ± 0.70a | 32.00 ± 4.20b | 21.50 ± 2.10b |
| C 760 | 30.25 ± 3.18a | 28.25 ± 4.60b | 23.75 ± 2.47b |
| C 778 | 29.50 ± 1.41a | 21.50 ± 4.95b | 24.50 ± 2.12b |
| C 779 | 28.75 ± 1.77a | 29.50 ± 0.70b | 20.50 ± 0.70 |
| C 782 | 19.00 ± 2.83a | 16.75 ± 3.18b | 23.50 ± 0.71b |
| C 830 | 30.50 ± 0.71a | 31.75 ± 0.35b | 22.75 ± 0.35b |
| C 882 | 18.00 ± 4.24a | 19.00 ± 1.41b | 23.00 ± 4.24b |
| C 895 | 29.50 ± 4.24a | 28.75 ± 3.18b | 25.25 ± 3.18b |
| C 897 | 18.50 ± 2.12a | 25.00 ± 7.07b | 25.00 ± 0.00 |
| C 958 | 30.75 ± 1.06a | 21.00 ± 3.53b | 24.75 ± 1.77b |
| C 969 | 32.50 ± 0.71a | 20.50 ± 2.12b | 22.50 ± 2.12b |
| C 996 | 30.00 ± 1.41a | 23.50 ± 2.12b | 24.75 ± 0.35b |
| C 997 | 26.75 ± 0.35a | 16.75 ± 2.47b | 23.25 ± 1.06 |
| C 1003 | 29.75 ± 3.18a | 20.50 ± 0.71b | 21.25 ± 0.35b |
| C 1006 | 30.50 ± 2.12a | 25.50 ± 2.83b | 24.25 ± 1.77b |
| C 1046 | 28.00 ± 1.41a | 27.00 ± 0.70b | 21.25 ± 0.35b |
| C 1048 | 28.25 ± 0.71a | 23.25 ± 1.06b | 26.25 ± 1.77b |
| C 1049 | 31.50 ± 3.53a | 26.25 ± 3.89b | 24.75 ± 1.06b |
| C 1050 | 29.50 ± 2.12a | 24.25 ± 4.60b | 23.25 ± 1.77b |
| C 1051 | 21.00 ± 1.41a | 19.75 ± 3.18b | 24.75 ± 1.06b |
| C 1052 | 31.25 ± 1.77a | 26.25 ± 1.77b | 21.25 ± 3.18b |
| C 1053 | 28.75 ± 1.77a | 23.50 ± 4.95b | 22.25 ± 1.76b |
| G 14 | 22.50 ± 3.53a | 20.00 ± 0.00b | 24.00 ± 1.41b |
| SLU 1922 | 30.00 ± 1.41a | 28.50 ± 1.41b | 21.25 ± 1.77b |
| SLU 2157 | 31.00 ± 0.00a | 28.50 ± 4.24b | 19.25 ± 1.06b |
| NCTC 7973 | 21.00 ± 1.41a | 21.50 ± 2.12b | 25.00 ± 0.00b |
| Scott A | 23.50 ± 2.12a | 22.00 ± 1.41b | 23.50 ± 2.12b |

^a Data are the mean of two independent experiments ± standard deviation. Data with different letters are significantly different (*P* < 0.05).

Table 4. Minimum Inhibitory Concentration of the Essential Oil of *T. capitata* for Each of the Selected *L. monocytogenes* Strains^a

| <i>L. monocytogenes</i> strain | MIC (μL/mL) |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| NCTC7973 | 0.20 |
| ScottA | 0.20 |
| C681 | 0.05 |
| C759 | 0.15 |
| C782 | 0.10 |
| C830 | 0.20 |
| C882 | 0.05 |
| C895 | 0.15 |
| C897 | 0.20 |
| G14 | 0.15 |
| SLU1922 | 0.05 |
| SLU2157 | 0.05 |

^a Data are the mean of three independent experiments.

concentrations tested, than without the radical inducer. In the case of BHT the differences were not as evident as those observed for α-tocopherol and BHA. The antioxidant index of *T. capitata* oil was not affected when ABAP was added, in contrast to that observed for *O. vulgare* oil. In the latter case, the presence of ABAP determined a decrease of the antioxidant index.

Table 5. Activity of Carvacrol (Inhibition Zone, Including Diameter of the Disk, 6 mm) and Minimum Inhibitory Concentration for Each *L. monocytogenes* Strain

| <i>L. monocytogenes</i> strain | inhibition zone ^a (mm) | | carvacrol MIC ^b (μ L/mL) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------|
| | carvacrol | chloramphenicol | |
| C830 | 40.00 \pm 5.66a | 27.00 \pm 0.35b | 0.10 |
| C882 | 36.00 \pm 2.83a | 24.00 \pm 1.41b | 0.05 |
| C897 | 44.00 \pm 10.96a | 29.00 \pm 0.35b | 0.10 |
| NCTC7973 | 38.00 \pm 2.47a | 26.00 \pm 0.35b | 0.10 |
| Scott A | 37.00 \pm 0.71a | 25.00 \pm 1.77b | 0.15 |

^a Data are the mean of two independent experiments \pm standard deviation. Data with different letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$). ^b Data are the mean of three independent experiments.

Table 6. Antioxidant Index of the Essential Oils, α -Tocopherol, BHT, and BHA, in Different Concentrations, Using TBARS Assay without ABAP^a

| oil/substance (mg/L) | antioxidant index (%) without ABAP | | | | |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | BHT | BHA | α -tocopherol | <i>T. capitata</i> | <i>O. vulgare</i> |
| 160 | 86.5 \pm 4.5a | 61.8 \pm 4.5c | 74.6 \pm 4.5abc | 68.0 \pm 4.5bc | 78.6 \pm 4.5ab |
| 320 | 86.8 \pm 3.0a | 59.7 \pm 3.0c | 64.0 \pm 3.0c | 77.6 \pm 3.0b | 81.2 \pm 3.0ab |
| 640 | 87.8 \pm 2.2a | 60.3 \pm 2.2c | 68.2 \pm 2.2b | 85.6 \pm 2.2a | 85.2 \pm 2.2a |
| 800 | 86.0 \pm 3.9a | 75.6 \pm 3.9b | 70.2 \pm 3.9b | 85.8 \pm 3.9a | 86.6 \pm 3.9a |
| 1000 | 86.3 \pm 2.3a | 80.0 \pm 2.3a | 65.2 \pm 2.3b | 82.1 \pm 2.3a | 83.2 \pm 2.3a |

^a Data are the mean of four replicates \pm standard error. In each row, means with different letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

Table 7. Antioxidant Index of the Essential Oils, α -Tocopherol, BHT, and BHA, in Different Concentrations, Using TBARS Assay with ABAP^a

| oil/substance (mg/L) | antioxidant index (%) with ABAP | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | BHT | BHA | α -tocopherol | <i>T. capitata</i> | <i>O. vulgare</i> |
| 160 | 82.1 \pm 2.1b | 89.8 \pm 2.1a | 94.6 \pm 2.1a | 73.1 \pm 2.1c | 53.2 \pm 2.1d |
| 320 | 84.9 \pm 3.1b | 91.7 \pm 3.1a | 95.2 \pm 3.1a | 69.2 \pm 3.1c | 66.6 \pm 3.1c |
| 640 | 89.6 \pm 2.5a | 92.7 \pm 2.5a | 92.5 \pm 2.5a | 80.0 \pm 2.5b | 71.7 \pm 2.5c |
| 800 | 88.3 \pm 2.3b | 97.0 \pm 2.3a | 95.2 \pm 2.3a | 83.2 \pm 2.3b | 61.8 \pm 2.3c |
| 1000 | 90.4 \pm 2.9ab | 96.4 \pm 2.9a | 93.2 \pm 2.9a | 84.1 \pm 2.9b | 54.9 \pm 2.9c |

^a Data are the mean of four replicates \pm standard error. In each row, means with different letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

The method of micellar model system revealed also that all samples possessed the ability of preventing the formation of primary hydroperoxydienes components, mainly *T. capitata* oil, because for this oil the antioxidant index percentage was significantly higher (96%) than that obtained with the remaining tested samples (Table 8).

DISCUSSION

The high relative amount of carvacrol detected in the essential oil of *T. capitata* confirms the previous results obtained by Salgueiro for the same species collected in Portugal (27, 28). According to Salgueiro (27) this species shows a great chemical homogeneity characterized by high carvacrol relative amounts (60–64%). Concerning *O. vulgare*, a high percentage of thymol was detected, within the range generally described by some authors for this species (17); nevertheless, carvacrol was practically absent. However, it is known that *O. vulgare* shows great variability in its essential oil composition not only due to the existence of different subspecies but also due to the influence of numerous factors, mainly environmental and climatic conditions (29).

Table 8. Antioxidant Index of the Essential Oils, α -Tocopherol, BHT, and BHA at the Concentration of 160 mg/L in the Presence of ABAP, Using the Micellar Model System^a

| oil/substance (mg/L) | antioxidant index (%) with ABAP by the micellar model system | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | BHT | BHA | α -tocopherol | <i>T. capitata</i> | <i>O. vulgare</i> |
| 160 | 83.6 \pm 4.0ab | 84.4 \pm 4.0ab | 75.7 \pm 4.0b | 95.7 \pm 4.0a | 77.0 \pm 4.0b |

^a Data are the mean of four replicates \pm standard error. Means with different letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

It seems to be recognized that the use of essential oils in food and other supplies tends to increase due to the global world tendency of “green consumerism”, which implies the improvement and use of products obtained from vegetable material (2).

The essential oils of *T. capitata* and *O. vulgare* possessed significant activity against the different strains of *L. monocytogenes*. However, these antimicrobial activities were more evident for the oils isolated from *T. capitata*. The MIC values for the essential oil of *T. capitata* and its main component carvacrol were very similar, 0.20 and 0.15 μ L/mL, respectively. These results suggest that the antilisterial activity of the essential oil of *T. capitata* is due mainly to its content in carvacrol. It becomes clear that there is a relationship between the high activity of the oils and the presence of phenolic compounds: carvacrol for the *Thymbra* oil and thymol for the *Origanum* oil. The best antimicrobial activity observed for the oils from *Thymbra* can be due to the highest percentage of carvacrol (76%), whereas the thymol reached only 33% in the oil from *Origanum*. The MIC values obtained for carvacrol in our study are in agreement with the results obtained in the study of Pol and Smid (30).

The relatedness between antimicrobial activity and phenolic compounds present in some plant essential oils was already observed when using other microorganisms (24), in particular *Bacillus cereus* (31) and *Helicobacter pylori* (32).

Not only did the essential oil of *O. vulgare* show a lower activity in comparison to the *T. capitata* oil, but it is relevant to point out that the achieved activity was similar to that of the antibiotic, indicating the use of oregano essential oil as a powerful tool in the control of this important food pathogen.

Differentiation of *L. monocytogenes* strains by their susceptibility to *T. capitata* or *O. vulgare* essential oils was not recorded. These results are in agreement with the data presented in the work of Mourey and Canillas (33). In the study conducted by these researchers different essential oils were used against *L. monocytogenes* strains of serotypes 1/2c and 4b, and no discrimination between the susceptibilities of the two serotypes was evident.

With regard to the antioxidant activity it is accepted that the degree of lipid oxidation should be evaluated by more than one method because it occurs through several steps, originating several types of products. In view of this, in the present work two methods were chosen: (a) the TBARS assay, which measures the extent of lipid degradation by spectrophotometric evaluation, at 532 nm, of the pink pigment produced through reaction of thiobarbituric acid (TBA) with malonaldehyde (MDA), one of the secondary lipid peroxidation products; and (b) the micellar model system, based on the spectrophotometric determination, at 234 nm, of the rate of conjugated diene formation from linoleic acid, that is, the formation of primary components (hydroperoxydienes) of the oxidative process of a lipid. Both *O. vulgare* and *T. capitata* oils demonstrated protective antioxidant ability, being at higher concentrations (640–800 mg/L) as effective as BHT and better than BHA and

α -tocopherol. Only at 1000 mg/L were these two antioxidants able to prevent lipid oxidation as effectively as the essential oils and BHT. The antioxidant activities of the essential oils of *O. vulgare* L. and *T. capitata* were to be expected because their major components were the phenolic compounds thymol and carvacrol, respectively. However, under oxidative process conditions, that is, in the presence of the radical inducer ABAP, the antioxidant ability of the essential of *O. vulgare* decreased and, to a lower extent, so did that of *T. capitata* oil. Some authors (34) using the TBARS method with ABAP and testing the antioxidant effectiveness of essential oil components found that carvacrol possessed a higher ability than thymol to prevent oxidation, independently of the concentrations tested (100, 500, and 1000 mg/L). In the assay with ABAP the *O. vulgare* oil was less active as antioxidant agent because antioxidant indices decreased the higher the concentration of the oil was, especially in the scope from 640 to 1000 mg/L. This pattern of behavior was already observed in essential oils of *O. vulgare* and *Artemisia abyssinica* (35, 36), despite their chemical oil compositions. These authors state that several compounds can react with 2-thiobarbituric acid under identical conditions, giving non-malondialdehyde-related colored adducts. Despite the similarity of results found by these authors and those detected by us in the presence of ABAP, the absence of such behavior remains to be explained when in the present work *O. vulgare* oil was tested without ABAP. Because with the TBARS assay with ABAP all samples showed, even at the lowest concentration tested, antioxidant effectiveness, the micellar model system was performed at only one concentration (160 mg/L) and in the presence of the radical inducer ABAP. According to the results obtained, it was evident that all samples, including the essential oils, could prevent and reduce the degradation of hydroperoxydienes. Nevertheless, from a comparison of the antioxidant indices (AI%) of the essential oils with both methods, they seem to act preferentially, reducing the formation of hydroperoxydienes, that is, preventing the primary oxidation, because a higher percentage of antioxidant indices was detected by the micellar model system method than by the TBARS method. This was more evident in the *T. capitata* oil mainly constituted by carvacrol, with an antioxidant index of 96%. The presence of available hydrogen atoms from phenol seems to be responsible for the good barrier against the primary oxidative process (34).

The imminent increase in the use of essential oils worldwide as antimicrobial agents forces the knowledge on several aspects of their use in food systems, where the efficacy of essential oils may be compromised due to interaction with food components and bacteria such as *L. monocytogenes* and other food pathogens, which possess an adaptation behavior that protects cells against several stress conditions. It is thus crucial to investigate the tolerance response of this bacterium when in the presence of essential oils at sublethal concentrations.

According to the obtained antilisterial and antioxidant activities, *T. capitata* essential oil is the most promising for use in food systems; however, the strong *T. capitata* essential oil aroma may constitute an obstacle to its use. However, a mixture of *O. vulgare* and *T. capitata* essential oils may be considered to overcome this aspect without loss of antibacterial and antioxidant activities.

LITERATURE CITED

- (1) Namiki, M. Antioxidants/antimutagens in food. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci.* **1990**, *29*, 273–300.
- (2) Burt, S. Essential oils: their antibacterial properties and potential applications in foods—a review. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2004**, *94*, 223–253.
- (3) Beuchat, L. R. Control of foodborne pathogens and spoilage microorganisms by naturally occurring antimicrobials. In *Microbial Food Contamination*; Wilson, Droby, Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2001; pp 149–169.
- (4) Farber, J. M.; Peterkin, P. I. *Listeria monocytogenes*, a foodborne pathogen. *Microbiol. Rev.* **1991**, *55*, 476–511.
- (5) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Diagnosis and Management of Foodborne Illnesses: A Primer for Physicians and Other Health Care Professionals. *MMWR* **2004**, *53* (RR-4), 1–12.
- (6) Faleiro, M. L.; Andrew, P. W.; Power, D. Stress response of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolated from cheese and other foods. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2003**, *84*, 207–216.
- (7) Lundén, J.; Autio, T.; Markkula, A.; Hellström, S.; Korkeala, H. Adaptive and cross-adaptive responses of persistent and non-persistent *Listeria monocytogenes* strains to disinfectants. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2003**, *82*, 265–272.
- (8) Hill, C.; Cotter, P. D.; Sleator, R. D.; Gahan, C. G. M. Bacterial stress response in *Listeria monocytogenes*: jumping the hurdles imposed by minimal processing. *Int. Dairy J.* **2002**, *12*, 273–283.
- (9) Kathariou, S. *Listeria monocytogenes* virulence and pathogenicity, a food safety perspective. *J. Food Prot.* **2002**, *65*, 1811–1829.
- (10) White, D. G.; Zhao, S.; Simjee, S.; Wagner, D. D.; McDermott, P. F. Antimicrobial resistance of foodborne pathogens. *Microbes Infection* **2002**, *4*, 405–412.
- (11) Ross, S. A.; El-Keltawi, N. E.; Megalla, S. E. Antimicrobial activity of some Egyptian aromatic plants. *Fitoterapia* **1980**, *51*, 201–205.
- (12) Panizzi, L.; Flamini, G.; Cioni, P. L.; Morelli, I. Composition and antimicrobial properties of essential oils of four Mediterranean *Lamiaceae*. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **1993**, *39*, 167–170.
- (13) Faleiro, M. L.; Miguel, G.; Guerrero, C.; Brito, J. Antimicrobial activity of essential oils of *Rosmarinus officinalis* L., *Thymus mastichina* (L.) ssp. *mastichina* and *Thymus albicans* Hoffmanns. & Link. *Acta Hort.* **1999**, *50*, 45–48.
- (14) Friedman, M.; Henika, P. R.; Mandrell, R. E. Bactericidal activities of plant essential oils and some of their isolated constituents against *Campylobacter jejuni*, *Escherichia coli*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Salmonella enterica*. *J. Food Prot.* **2002**, *165*, 1545–1560.
- (15) Faleiro, M. L.; Miguel, M. G.; Ladeiro, F.; Venâncio, F.; Tavares, R.; Brito, J. C.; Figueiredo, A. C.; Barroso, J. G.; Pedro, L. G. Antimicrobial activity of essential oils isolated from Portuguese endemic species of *Thymus*. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* **2003**, *36*, 35–40.
- (16) Burt, S. A.; Reinders, R. D. Antibacterial activity of selected plant essential oils against *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* **2003**, *36*, 162–167.
- (17) Baratta, M. T.; Dorman, H. J. D.; Deans, S. G.; Biondi, D. M.; Ruberto, G. Chemical composition, antimicrobial and antioxidative activity of laurel, sage, rosemary, oregano and coriander essential oils. *J. Essent. Oil Res.* **1998**, *10*, 618–627.
- (18) Bagamboula, C. F.; Uyttendale, M.; Debevere, J. Inhibitory effect of thyme and basil essential oils, carvacrol, thymol, estragol, linalool and *p*-cymene towards *Shigella sonnei* and *S. flexneri*. *Food Microbiol.* **2003**, *21*, 33–42.
- (19) Singh, A.; Singh, R. K.; Bhunia, A. K.; Singh, N. Efficacy of plant essential oils as antimicrobial agents against *Listeria monocytogenes* in hotdogs. *Lebensm. Wiss. -Technol. -Food Sci. Technol.* **2003**, *36*, 787–794.
- (20) Anonymous. *European Pharmacopoeia*, 3rd ed.; Council of Europe: Strasbourg, France, 1996; pp 121–122.
- (21) Trivett, T. L.; Meyer, E. A. Citrate cycle and related metabolism of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *J. Bacteriol.* **1971**, *107*, 770–779.

- (22) Jones, C.; Shama, G.; Andrew, P. W.; Roberts, I. S.; Jones, D. Comparative study of six defined media for growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* and demonstration of continuous culture. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.* **1995**, *78*, 66–70.
- (23) Dorman, H. J. D.; Deans, S. G.; Noble, R. C. Evaluation in vitro of plant essential oils as natural antioxidants. *J. Essent. Oil Res.* **1995**, *7*, 645–651.
- (24) Baratta, M. T.; Dorman, H. J. D.; Deans, S. G.; Figueiredo, A. C.; Barroso, J. G.; Ruberto, G. Antimicrobial and antioxidant properties of some commercial essential oils. *Flavour Fragrance J.* **1998**, *13*, 235–244.
- (25) Ruberto, G.; Baratta, M. T.; Deans, S. G.; Dorman, H.-J. D. Antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of *Foeniculum vulgare* and *Crithmum maritimum* essential oils. *Planta Med.* **2000**, *66*, 687–693.
- (26) Fogliano, V.; Ritieni, A.; Monti, S. M.; Gallo, M.; Medaglia, D.; Ambrosino, M. L.; Sacchi, R. Antioxidant activity of virgin olive oil phenolic compounds in a micellar system. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **1999**, *79*, 1803–1808.
- (27) Salgueiro, L. Os tomilhos Portugueses. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculdade de Farmácia de Coimbra, Portugal, 1994.
- (28) Miguel, M. G.; Figueiredo, A. C.; Costa, M. M.; Martins, D.; Barroso, J. G.; Pedro, L. Antioxidant activity of the essential oils isolated from *Thymbra capitata* (L.) Cav. on olive and sunflower oils. *Grasas Aceites* **2003**, *54*, 219–225.
- (29) Şahin, F.; Güllüce, M.; Daferera, D.; Sökmen, A.; Sökmen, M.; Polissiou, M.; Agar, G.; Özer, H. Biological activities of the essential oils and methanol extract of *Origanum vulgare* ssp. *vulgare* in the Eastern Anatolia region of Turkey. *Food Control* **2004**, *15*, 549–557.
- (30) Pol, I. E.; Smid, E. J. Combined action of nisin and carvacrol on *Bacillus cereus* and *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* **1999**, *29*, 166–170.
- (31) Delgado, B.; Palop, A.; Fernández, P. S.; Periago, P. M. Combined effect of thymol and cymene to control the growth of *Bacillus cereus* vegetative cells. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* **2004**, *218*, 188–193.
- (32) Chun, S.-S.; Vattem, D. A.; Lin, Y.-T.; Shety, K. Phenolic antioxidants from clonal oregano (*Origanum vulgare*) with antimicrobial activity against *Helicobacter pylori*. *Process Biochem.* **2005**, *40*, 809–816.
- (33) Mourey, A.; Canillas, N. Anti-*Listeria monocytogenes* activity of essential oils components of conifers. *Food Control* **2002**, *13*, 289–292.
- (34) Ruberto, G.; Baratta, M. T. Antioxidant activity of selected essential oil components in two lipid model systems. *Food Chem.* **2000**, *69*, 167–174.
- (35) Dorman, H. J. D.; Deans, S. G. Chemical composition, antimicrobial and in vitro antioxidant properties of *Monarda citriodora* var. *citriodora*, *Myristica fragrans*, *Origanum vulgare* ssp. *hirtum*, *Pelargonium* sp. and *Thymus zygis* oils. *J. Essent. Oil Res.* **2004**, *16*, 145–150.
- (36) Burits, M.; Asres, K.; Bucar, F. The antioxidant activity of the essential oils of *Artemisia afra*, *Artemisia abyssinica* and *Juniperus procera*. *Phytother. Res.* **2001**, *15*, 103–108.
- (37) Linnan, M. J.; Mascola, L.; Xiao, D. L.; Goulet, V.; May, S.; Salminen, C.; Hird, D. W.; Yonekura, L.; Hayes, P.; Weaver, R.; Audurier, A.; Plikaytis, B. D.; Fanning, S. L.; Kleks, A.; Broome, C. V. Epidemic listeriosis associated with Mexican-style cheese. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **1988**, *319*, 823–828.
- (38) Ericsson, H.; Eklöv, A.; Danielsson-Tham, M.-L.; Loncarevic, S.; Mentzing, L.-O.; Persson, I.; Unnerstad, H.; Tham, W. An outbreak of listeriosis suspected to have been caused by rainbow trout. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **1997**, *35*, 2904–2907.
- (39) Loncarevic, S.; Danielsson-Tham, M.-L.; Mårtensson, L.; Rune-hagen, A.; Tham, W. A case of foodborne listeriosis in Sweden. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* **1997**, *24*, 65–68.

Received for review May 2, 2005. Revised manuscript received July 19, 2005. Accepted July 31, 2005.

JF0510079